

Lech's inequality and stability of local rings

(j.w. Ilya Smirnov)

Theorem (Lech) ^{65'} $(R, m) = \text{local}$ $I \subseteq R$ m -primary ideal $d = \dim(R)$

Then $(*)$ $e(I) \leq d! e(R) l(R/I)$

Here, $e(I) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l(R/I^n)}{n^d} \cdot d! \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ $e(R) := e(m)$

Remarks ① $I = \mathfrak{f}^N$ then $e(\mathfrak{f}^N) = N^d e(\mathfrak{f})$

$$l(R/\mathfrak{f}^N) \sim N^d e(\mathfrak{f}) \cdot \frac{1}{d!}$$

$$\Rightarrow e(\mathfrak{f}^N) \sim d! l(R/\mathfrak{f}^N)$$

$\Rightarrow (*)$ is asymptotically sharp if $e(R) = 1$
ce.g. $R = \text{regular}$

② $R = k[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$ $I = m$ -primary monomial ideal.

$$NP(I) = \text{convex hull of } \{ \underline{a} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \mid x^{\underline{a}} \in I \}$$

$$e(I) = d! \text{Vol}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus NP(I))$$

$$l(R/I) = \# \text{ of integer points in } \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus NP(I)$$

Definition $(R, \mathfrak{m}) = \text{local ring}$ $C_{LM}(R) := \sup_{\substack{I \subset R \\ I \neq R}} \left\{ \frac{e(I)}{d! \ell(R/I)} \right\}$
 is called Lech-Mumford constant of R

Rmk.: ① $C_{LM}(R) = C_{LM}(\hat{R})$ can restrict to $I = \bar{I}$ in sup

② $\underbrace{1 \leq C_{LM}(R)}_{\text{take } I = \mathfrak{m}^N} \leq \underbrace{e(R)}_{\text{Lech's inequality (*)}}$

Theorem (Huneke-M-Quy-Smirnov, MS) $C_{LM}(R) = e(R)$
 if and only if one of the following holds

① $\dim(R) \leq 1$

② $\dim(R) \geq 2$ and $e(\hat{R}_{\text{red}}) = 1$

Theorem (Mumford) $X = \text{Projective}/\mathbb{A}\text{-field}$ $L = \text{ample}$

Suppose (X, L) is asymptotically Chow semistable: i.e. $\forall n \gg 0$
 the Chow form $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is semistable under the natural
 SL_{N+1} -action. Then $\forall x \in X$ closed point, we have

$$C_{LM}(\underbrace{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}[[t]]}_{\text{local ring}}) = 1.$$

Lemma (Mumford)

$$e(R) \geq C_{LM}(R) \geq C_{LM}(R[[t]]) \geq C_{LM}(R[[t_1, t_2]]) \dots \geq 1$$

Definition A local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is called

- ① semistable if $C_M(R[[t]]) = 1$
 - ① $\exists$ a notion of stable (omitted)
 - ② Lech-stable if $C_M(R) = 1$
 - ③ lim-stable if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_M(R[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]) = 1$
- } Mumford
} MS

Observations:

Lech-stable $\implies$ semistable $\implies$ lim-stable
 $\dashrightarrow$ stable $\dashrightarrow$

Exercise: $\boxed{\dim(R) = 0}$ then $C_M(R[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]) = e(R) \forall n$

In particular, Lech-stable $\Leftrightarrow$ semistable $\Leftrightarrow$ lim-stable
 $(\Leftrightarrow R = \text{field})$

Example: $R = \frac{k[[x, y]]}{xy}$ $\dim(R) = 1$ $C_M(R) = e(R) = 2$
 $R \neq$ Lech-stable

Mumford: R is semistable.

i.e., $C_M\left(\frac{k[[x, y, z]]}{xy}\right) = 1$

(MS)
Theorem $\boxed{\dim(R) = 1}$ $R = \text{complete CM}$

① R is Lech-stable $\Leftrightarrow R = \text{DVR}$

② R is semistable $\Leftrightarrow$ lim-stable $\Leftrightarrow$ either $R = \text{DVR}$ or $R = \underline{\text{node}}$

Example (MS) Simple normal crossings

$$R_n = \frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n} \quad \text{Then we have.}$$

$$\begin{cases} n=1 & R = \text{field} & \text{Lech-stable} \\ n \geq 2 & R = \text{semi-stable, } \underline{\text{not}} & \text{Lech-stable.} \end{cases}$$

$$c_{LM}(R_3) = c_{LM}\left(\frac{k[x, y, z]}{xyz}\right) = \frac{3}{2}$$

$$c_{LM}(R_n) = ? \quad \leftarrow \text{no closed formula for } n \geq 4$$

Theorem $\boxed{\dim(R)=2}$ $(R, m) = \text{complete normal}$

① (Goto - Tai - Watanabe)

$R = \text{Lech-stable} \Leftrightarrow$ either $R = \text{regular}$ or $R = \text{rational double point}$
(i.e., Gorenstein & pseudo-rational)

② (MS) $R = \text{lim-stable} \Rightarrow R = \mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein & $\log^{\oplus}$ -canonical

③ (MS) $\exists$ seminormal generalizations (under mild assumption)

Theorem (MS) $R = \text{essentially finite type / } k \text{ char}(k) = 0$

Suppose R is normal and $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein. Then

① $R = \text{lim-stable}$ (e.g., semistable) $\Rightarrow R$ is $\log$ canonical

② $R = \text{Lech-stable}$ and $R = \text{isolated singularity}$.
 $\Rightarrow R$ is canonical.

- Rmk: • lim-stable/Lech-stable $\nRightarrow$ ①-Gorenstein
- cannot drop isolated sing assumption in ②
 - cannot expect "lc/canonical" $\Rightarrow$ "lim-stable/Lech-stable"

b/c $\underbrace{\text{Lech-stable}} \Rightarrow e(R) \leq d!$

$\sup_{I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}} \left\{ \frac{e(I)}{d! e(R/I)} \right\} = 1$ $\text{seminormal} \Rightarrow e(R) \leq (d+1)!$

Idea of proof of ①: lim-stable $\Rightarrow$ lc

assuming $R = \text{seminormal}$ and R is lc on punctured spec.

take a lc modification $\underbrace{Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R) =: X}$



this is the blowup of some
m-primary ideal $I \subseteq \text{Spec}(R)$

Consider $B|_{I+t^m}(X \times A') \rightarrow X \times A'$ for m sufficiently
divisible.

this is the blow up of $\underbrace{(I+t^m)^N}_{J} \subseteq R[t]$

$$e\left(\frac{R[t]}{J^n}\right) = \frac{e(J)}{(d+1)!} n^{d+1} + \frac{K_Y \cdot (E)^d}{2d!} n^d + \text{lot}$$